PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

TRANSLATION INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference PCT2186HHilg		FOR FURTHER ACTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416					
		International filing date (day/month	/year) Priority date (day/month/year)					
International application No. PCT/EP2004/013778		03.12.2004	05.12.2003					
			03.12.2003					
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC G05B19/042, H02H9/00								
Applicant COOPER INDUSTRIES, INC.								
1.	1. This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.							
2.	This REPORT consists of a total of	6 shee	ts, including this cover sheet.					
3.	This report is also accompanied by A	NNEXES, comprising:						
	a. (sent to the applicant and	to the International Bureau) a total c	of 1 sheets, as follows:					
	sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).							
	sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental Box.							
		Bureau only) a total of (indicate type	and number of electronic carrier(s))					
			containing a sequence listing and/or tables					
	, containing a sequence listing and/or tables related thereto, in computer readable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).							
4.	This report contains indications relati	ng to the following items:						
	Box No. I Basis of the	report						
	Box No. II Priority							
	Box No. III Non-establi	shment of opinion with regard to nov	velty, inventive step and industrial applicability					
	Box No. IV Lack of uni	ty of invention						
	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement							
	Box No. VI Certain doc	uments cited						
	Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application							
	Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application							
Date of s	submission of the demand	Date of comp	pletion of this report					
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/EP		Authorized o	theer					
Facsimil	a No	Telephone No	0					
1 acsimili	C 110.	Telephone No	v.					

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.

PCT/EP2004/013778

Box	No. I	I Basis of the report					
1.		h regard to the language, this report is based on the internatio cated under this item.	nal application in the language in	which it was filed, unless otherwise			
	This report is based on translations from the original language into the following language which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of:						
		international search (Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b))					
		publication of the international application (Rule 12.4					
2	With	international preliminary examination (Rule 55.2 and/		sheets which have been furnished to the			
2.	recei	With regard to the elements of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to his report):					
		the international application as originally filed/furnished					
	\bowtie	the description:					
		pages <u>1-9</u>		as originally filed/furnished			
		pages*	received by this Authority on				
		pages*	received by this Authority on				
	\boxtimes	the claims:					
		nos. 2–16		as originally filed/furnished			
		nos.*	as amended (togethe	r with any statement) under Article 19			
		nos.* _ 1	received by this Authority on	20.09.2005 with letter of 20.09.2005			
		nos.*	received by this Authority on				
	\boxtimes	the drawings:					
		sheets 1/3-3/3		as originally filed/furnished			
		sheets*					
		a sequence listing and/or any related table(s) – see Supplem					
3.		The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:					
		the description, pages					
		the claims, nos.					
		the sequence listing (specify):					
4.		This report has been established as if (some of) the amend they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as fil	ments annexed to this report and	listed below had not been made, since			
		the description, pages					
		the claims, nos.					
			the drawings, sheets/figs				
		the sequence listing (specify):					
		any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):					
*	If ite	em 4 applies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "sup					

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/013778

Box			rticle 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; pporting such statement	
1.	Statement			
	Novelty (N)	Claims	1-16	YES
		Claims		NO
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims		YES
		Claims	1-16	NO
	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-16	YES
		Claims		_ NO

- 2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7)
 - 1. Reference is made to the following documents:
 - D1: JOHANNSMEYER U: "Fieldbus for process automation in hazardous locations-actual developments" INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON EXPLOSION SAFETY IN HAZARDOUS AREAS (CONF. PUBL. NO. 469), 1999, pages 61-67, XP002317460 LONDON, UK ISBN: 0-85295-723-3.
 - D2: DE 199 10 409 A1 (HARTMANN & BRAUN GMBH & CO. KG) 18 November 1999 (1999-11-18)
 - D3: US 2002/097031 A1 (COOK WARREN E ET AL) 25 July 2002 (2002-07-25).
 - The present application does not meet the requirements of PCT Article 33(1) because the subject matter of claims 1-16 does not involve an inventive step within the meaning of PCT Article 33(3).
 - 2.1 Document D1 is considered the prior art closest to
 claim 1. It discloses (the references between
 parentheses relate to D1):

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

a data transmission device (figure 6) having a process master computer, a data adaptation device within the meaning of the present application (remote I/O) and field devices connected thereto. Document D1, figure 5, likewise discloses a data transmission device having a segment coupling which functions as a data adaptation device, and a supply device (auxiliary supply) and a data distribution device (fieldbus distributor).

However, figure 5 of document D1 does not disclose that the data adaptation device and the associated supply devices are protected against explosion. In addition, document D1 does not disclose that the supply device is connected to the data distribution unit via an explosion-protected line, nor does document D1 disclose that the data distribution unit has a barrier device for limiting the amount of energy supplied.

Owing to the configuration specified in claim 1, a plurality of field devices can be connected to each electrical circuit.

2.2 Document D2 discloses a distribution device
(figure 1: 11) in the same configuration as in
document D1 (figure 5). The distribution device
contains a barrier (see document D2, figure 2), as
specified in claim 1. A person skilled in the art
looking for precise instructions on how to
configure the data distribution device according
to document D1 in the area at risk of explosion,
would find in document D2 a version having an

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

integrated barrier.

Moreover, a person skilled in the art would choose, according to the circumstances of the specific application, an explosion-protected version of the data adaptation device and the associated supply device, for example if these devices also have to be mounted in the area at risk of explosion (see also document D1, figure 6, and page 66, left-hand column).

- 2.3 The solution to this problem, as proposed in claim 1 of the present application, therefore does not involve an inventive step (PCT Article 33(3)) relative to the combination of .
- 2.4 Dependent claims 2-16 contain no features which, combined with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the PCT requirements for inventive step.
- 3. The application does not meet the requirements of PCT Article 6 because claim 1 is not clear.
- 3.1 Claim 1 is not clear. In particular the wording in lines 4-6 "... wherein the data transmission device the process master computer (2) and connected to same by a bus system (3) connected field device (4), ..." does not make sense.
- 3.2 It is further unclear whether claim 1 refers only to a data transmission device consisting of a data

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/013778

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

adaptation device and a data distribution unit or whether the device also comprises the field device and a process master computer (PCT International Preliminary Examination Guidelines chapter III-4.8a). Moreover, it is not clear whether or not the features of the field devices defined in claim 1 restrict the scope of protection of claim 1 (the field devices have a barrier device if the barrier is not contained in the data distribution device).

3.3 It is also not clear from claim 1 which part of the data transmission device is connected to the process master computer and which part is connected to the field devices. Since in addition the terms "data distribution device" and "data adaptation device" are very vague, the scope of protection sought in claim 1 encompasses configurations which are not meaningful and not intended. Consequently, claim 1 is not fully supported by the description.